

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,838	04/16/2004	Satoko Shitagaki	0553-0406	1744	
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. SUITE 2850 200 WEST ADAMS STREET			EXAMINER		
			GRAY, JILL M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	CHICAGO, IL 60606			1774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/826,838	SHITAGAKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner /	Art Unit					
	Jill M. Gray	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ju	ne 2007.						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
, ,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 9-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 Ú.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	a.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/826,838

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The rejection of claims 1-2, 9, and 12-13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by "Quinoxalines Incorporating Triarylamines: Potential Electroluminescent Materials with Tunable Emission Characteristics", Thomas et al, is moot in view of applicants amendments and arguments.

The rejection of claims 10-11 and 14-17 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Quinoxalines Incorporating Triarylamines: Potential Electroluminescent Materials with Tunable Emission Characteristics", Thomas et al, in view of Thompson et al, 6,303,238 B1 is moot in view of applicants' amendments and arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In particular, claim 1 as amended adds the language of "at least one of R1-R4 are different from the others". This language is vague and indefinite because it is not clear what "the others" refers to. It is not clear if this language refers to R1-R4 or R1-R12 or R5-R12. Moreover, if this language refers to R1-R4, it is not clear that the specific examples of compounds both disclosed in the specification and as claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/826,838

Art Unit: 1774

meet this limitation. Alternatively, if this language refers to R1-R12 or R5-R12, then only the compound of claim 8 meets this limitation. Accordingly, the metes and bounds for which patent protection is being sought are not clear.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. More specifically, as set forth above, the amendment to claim 1 adds the language of "at least one of R1-R4 are different from the others". The specification, as originally filed does not contain this language and said language results in compounds that are not disclosed in the specification as originally filed.

No claims are allowed.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/826,838

Art Unit: 1774

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M. Gray whose telephone number is 571-272-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/826,838

Art Unit: 1774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

l//∕y/./Gray

rimary Examiner

rt Uhit 1774

jmg